

VICKI H. YOUNG  
Law Offices of Vicki H. Young  
706 Cowper Street, Suite 205  
Palo Alto, California 94301

~~EXHIBIT~~

Telephone (415) 421-4347  
Fax (650) 289-0636

Counsel for Michael Lopez Flores aka Gustavo Colin Lopez

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL LOPEZ-FLORES, aka  
GUSTAVO COLIN LOPEZ,

Defendant.

No. CR 10-00932 DLJ

STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE  
OF STATUS DATE; ☐  
ORDER

It is hereby stipulated between the defendant Michael Lopez-Flores, by and through his attorney of record VICKI H. YOUNG, and the government, through Assistant United States Attorney Thomas Colthurst, that the status date of February 2, 2012, at 9:00 a.m. be continued to February 9, 2012, at 9:00 a.m. The reason for this continuance is that defense counsel needs additional time to meet with the defendant to review plea documents.

Under Title 18 U.S. C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), the continuance is necessary to allow the attorneys for the government and the defense the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into the account the exercise of due diligence. Since the U.S. Attorneys Office needs an opportunity to review the new materials before deciding how to proceed, the time period from February 2, 2012, through February 9, 2012, should be excluded.

STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE;  
☐ ORDER

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

It is so stipulated.  
Dated: January 28, 2012

Respectfully submitted,  
  
/s/ Vicki H. Young  
VICKI H. YOUNG, ESQ.  
Attorney for Michael Lopez Flores

Dated: January 28, 2012

MELINDA HAAG  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Thomas Colthurst  
THOMAS COLTHURST  
Assistant United States Attorney

1 **ORDER**

2  
3 GOOD CAUSE BEING SHOWN, the status date appearance set for February 2, 2012, is  
4 continued to February 9, 2012. This Court finds that the period from February 2, 2012, through and  
5 including February 9, 2012, is excludable time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161(h). The  
6 basis for such exclusion is that defense counsel needs additional time to meet with the defendant in  
7 jail to review plea documents.

8 Therefore the ends of justice served by such a continuance outweigh the best interest of the  
9 public and the defendants in a Speedy Trial within the meaning of Title 18 U.S.C §3161(h)(7)(A).

10 As required by 18 U.S.C §3161 (h)(7)(A), this Court finds that the reason that the ends of  
11 justice outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial are the denial of  
12 the continuance would unreasonably deny both the attorney for the government and the attorney for  
13 the defendant reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of  
14 due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

15 DATED: ~~FEB~~ FEB 9, 2012



16 D. LOWELL JENSEN  
17 SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE